

# Oliver Stone's New JFK Documentary

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cQ3FyL4n5hg> (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cQ3FyL4n5hg>)

Uploaded by [The Future of Freedom Foundation](https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCqOG4zIDmbIUK12Fzwg5tLA) (<https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCqOG4zIDmbIUK12Fzwg5tLA>) on Mon Aug 23 2021.

FFF president Jacob G. Hornberger discusses Oliver Stone's new documentary "JFK Revisited: Through the Looking Glass."

Go to the podcast <https://libertarianangle.libsyn.com/>.

Please subscribe to our email newsletter FFF Daily here <http://eepurl.com/k4k2n>.

---

[00:00:00](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cQ3FyL4n5hg) (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cQ3FyL4n5hg>)

[Music] hi i'm jacob hornberger president of the future of freedom foundation and this is this week's libertarian angle the show that brings you the principal case for libertarianism especially within the burning context of the day if you're new to the future of freedom foundation i would invite you to come to our website at fff.org for 31 years of principled uncompromising perspectives on liberty also in uh i'd like to invite you to subscribe to our fff daily which we strive to make the best commentary page on the internet subscribe to our monthly journal future of freedom and of course subscribe to our youtube channel so oliver stone has come out with a new documentary entitled jfk revisited through the looking glass and it's in a sense a sequel to his 1991 movie jfk which posited that the assassination of john kennedy was actually a highly sophisticated regime change operation that involved the framing of an innocent man lee harvey oswald this was of course contrary to the official story that a lone nut former marine communist if you can believe that assassinated the president with no motive whatsoever so stone in 1991 created quite a furor among especially the the mainstream press the acolytes of the pentagon the cia they didn't like their national security establishment being accused of a regime change operation here on domestic soil they they certainly have no problems of course with with the cias and the pentagon's regime change operations in foreign countries including through assassination of foreign leaders they think that's all fine but as soon as someone suggests that they turn their sights inward to protect

[00:02:03](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cQ3FyL4n5hg&t=123s) (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cQ3FyL4n5hg&t=123s>)

they turn their sights inward to protect what they considered was national security from a president whose policies were in direct contradiction to their policies they're especially during the cold war uh the mainstream press and the acolytes of the the cia and the pentagon just go ballistic so when stone came up with this this movie jfk in 1991 it created a furor among the american people because they learned in that movie that the the government was keeping secret for decades the records relating to the assassination that were being held by the pentagon the cia the secret service now we're not talking about any confessions because we all know that when it comes to assassination nobody's going to put anything into writing and that certainly has been the longtime policy of the cia what we're talking about is circumstantial evidence that points in a very incriminating direction toward the national security establishment this is what they had been keeping secret for 30 years and we're going to keep secret for another i don't know 20 30 years after that i think i think the deadline was 2029 or so originally so stone's movie creates his fuhrer were americans who never really bought the lone nut theory it was ridiculous from from its inception they demanded the release of these documents and over the objections of the pentagon the cia the secret service and sure enough congress enacts the law the jfk records collection act and then to enforce this act they bring in the assassination

records review board which was a government body whose mission was to make sure the pentagon the caa disclosed their records and they disclosed many of them but certainly not all of them as most of us know or most of y'all know they the records were supposed to the balance of the records were supposed to be released

00:04:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cQ3FyL4n5hg&t=240s>)

released early in president trump's administration and he was threatening to do that but somehow or another the cia prevailed on him to keep the record secret on grounds of national security you see they're saying that the records even though they're now over 50 years old that national security will be jeopardized if these records are released you know like like the government's going to fall to the communists or something and so trump gave them what they wanted and now the new deadlines in october president biden has to determine whether he's going to just open him up finally uh or more likely he's going whether he's going to grant another request by the cia now there's been no formal request i personally have no doubts the cia will will ask for extended secrecy more time for secrecy we all know why those of us that have been studying this case and i have absolutely no doubts that that president biden will grant that request well what what a lot of people don't realize is that in that period of time of the assassination records review board a significant amount of evidence a mountain of evidence came out that pointed toward incriminatory conduct on the part of the the national security establishment and this is the purpose of oliver stone's documentary now i haven't seen the documentary but i know that in the documentary there are many people i know that are featured like doug horn who recently spoke at our online conference the national security state and the kennedy assassination all those presentations are online if you haven't seen them and i would invite you to see them that when i organized that conference it was more in the nature of a prosecutor's case uh presenting you know the e-witnesses and the evidence establishing this criminal conduct on the part of the national security establishment and so i would invite you to watch it from beginning to end in the sequential order that i put it together

00:06:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cQ3FyL4n5hg&t=360s>)

order that i put it together and i have no doubts that anybody that watches that that series in its entirety and it's long some of the presentations are extremely long my own personal presentation at the end was i think two hours and 45 minutes but if you go through there i have no doubts that you will reach a conclusion that this was a fraudulent autopsy and i am sure that that's some of what oliver stone's going to be focusing on or has focused on in this documentary also he's interviewed or jim de eugenio he wrote the script he was one of our speakers as well and he's done uh tremendous work in the kennedy assassination over the years his website is at kennedysandking.com and so what what stone is doing is he's saying hey look at this evidence this mountain of evidence that came out as a result of his movie jfk indirectly with the establishment of the jfk records act and the and the ar b this is the evidence that the mainstream press simply has just ignored and this was this was part of the design behind the secrecy that the national security establishment knew that if they could keep matters secret for at least three decades then by that time they would have a sufficient number of assets in the mainstream press just you know like they did with operation mockingbird and in addition to acquiring assets perhaps even having young cia agents grooming to be journalists and enter the mainstream press but after 30 years you've got a pretty good hold on the mainstream press either directly or indirectly a lot of them are simply afraid with with justification of this entity and sure enough there were matters that were screaming out for investigation in in that that came out as part of the assassination records review board in the jfk records act and yet the mainstream press didn't go after them and and to this day they won't go after them and those are the things that i think are going to be

00:08:01 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cQ3FyL4n5hg&t=481s>)

things that i think are going to be revealed in oliver stone's documentary now the documentary has already been shown it was shown at the con film festival you can google it oliver stone jfk revisited through the looking glass and you see a lot of the articles where we're linking to some of the articles in our fff daily so i

would invite you to subscribe to that but you can see what what some of the mainstream presidents think about people in europe they're not scared to look at the jfk assassination like the mainstream press here in the united states is they're very very open about examining how this thing took place and so stone is saying look at this evidence that that's that came out as a result of this now if if you're let's say you're in 1964 and you've read the warren report you say my government would never lie to me this has got to be the official story this is a low nut assassin and uh he didn't have a motive that's clear but he just saw an opportunity to be a big man by killing a big man he was a little man that wanted to be a big man that's the most common explanation for oswald's supposed assassination of john kennedy but there were always problems with it i mean nobody could ever place him in there you know firing this gun in fact the witnesses said he was immediately after the assassination they saw him drinking a coke down downstairs i mean this guy would have had to run a 100 miles an hour and and then here's another little anomaly is it they they find the the supposed murder weapon hidden among boxes so we're asked to believe that this guy who supposedly needs to get out of there fast going to take the time to hide the gun does that not seem a little ridiculous why would you want to take the time to hide a gun when you know they're going to search the room anyway they're going to find

00:10:01 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cQ3FyL4n5hg&t=601s>)

the room anyway they're going to find the gun so what what good is hiding the gun gonna do uh but of course if the gun is planted in advance well then it's got to be hidden but for the assassin to say well i gotta get out of here but i better hide the gun first in this room that they're gonna search from you know one end to the other it doesn't make any sense and then of course oswald uh you know war was his getaway plan he walks away from the building no he's first down there downstairs i see him drinking a coke so here's guys just assassinated the president he says i think i'll just have a coke and then he he grabs a bus that's going in the wrong direction back to the scene of the crime he starts to grab a cab and uh another you know a lady there wants the cab so he says oh you go ahead and take the cab i'll catch the next one and then he ends up going to the movies uh i mean none of this makes any sense uh from the lone nut theory but i mean let's assume that somebody says you know i i defer to the national security establishment i believe in them this is 1964. lots of people believe the government this is before iran contra this is before watergate this is before the gulf of tonkin resolution this is before people were accustomed to the government line especially the cia i mean we all know now that the cia lies as a matter of policy if they believe national security is at stake and richard helms the cia director he was he was convicted of lying to congress about the coup in chile where the president of the country was left dead by the u.s national security establishment there in the country that was affecting a regime change operation there was really no different principle from the regime change operation that took place in november 63 in the united states um so you know it it it sort of makes sense that somebody would say that's deferential to the government that believes in the government that feels that the government would never lie to them in 1964 to say

00:12:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cQ3FyL4n5hg&t=720s>)

lie to them in 1964 to say well if they said a loan that did this then a loan nut had to have done it because they wouldn't lie to me they wouldn't do anything bad well but all of a sudden you transport yourself all the way to you know 2021 things are different i mean now you've got this mountain of evidence that came out in the 1990s so if you're a lone nut theorist you've got to deal with that evidence it's incumbent on you to deal with that evidence it's not enough to just say well no i live in 1964 and i'm a lone nut theorist no no no now you've got to deal with the evidence now we all know that when it comes to assassination or murder people are not generally going to talk you know you often have heard that saying oh people would have talked by now that's what the mainstream journalists say somebody would have talked by now that's ludicrous nobody talks about murder i mean if you're engaged in a murder you keep your mouth shut this isn't like oh well we we we played a dirty trick on somebody and somebody would have talked about it and talked bragged to their friends hey we did this dirty trick on somebody no no we're talking about murder here and the reason that people don't talk about murder that they're involved in is twofold one is that the murder sometimes involves a death penalty in many states and with in the federal government and or at least life in prison

without parole most people don't want to end up like that you're subject to the death penalty or life in prison without parole and the other reason is that you run the risk of being killed by your fellow murderers they don't like you talking and so when it comes to the assassination itself with some some few minor exceptions nobody did talk they the the kappa secrecy they put on this was very effective i mean anybody that says the cia can't keep secrets is you know that's ridiculous of course they can keep secrets

00:14:01 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cQ3FyL4n5hg&t=841s>)

they can keep secrets they keep secrets very well especially with respect to murder i mean if you take for example the murder of johnny roselli now who was johnny versailles well johnny roselli was a mafia guy and he was a member of the mafia okay the mafia is this giant criminal organization they're involved in racketeering drug dealing murder or assassination i mean corruption of public officials i mean i don't think you could find a better example of a criminal organization in the mafia well the cia whose mindset very much mirrors that of the mafia entered into an assassination partnership with the mafia this was during the kennedy administration and the partnership was to assassinate fidel castro now why were they assassinating castro well because castro they said was a communist and that authorizes them to kill anybody that they believe is a threat to national security as a communist they considered him a threat to national security even though castro never did anything against the united states in terms of attacking or invading or terrorism or anything like that but that was the cia's position and and it's a it's a solidified position that if somebody is a threat to national security under u.s national security state law in the united states as a as it existed then as it currently exists the cia can wipe them out and and not be sued for it not be criminally held liable for it so they entered into this partnership with the mafia to kill castro and and roselli was the connector he was the liaison and roselli didn't disclose the the assassination but he was called to testify before the house select committee on assassinations when the investigation of the kennedy assassination resurfaced uh in the 70s and rashely did a little bit too much talking i mean he didn't openly confess things that that incriminated the national security establishment but he he talked too much and so roselli found

00:16:02 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cQ3FyL4n5hg&t=962s>)

he talked too much and so roselli found himself and well he didn't find himself people found his body parts in floating tubs in miami harbor that the idea was they were trying to sink him whoever killed him and hoping they would never surface nobody would ever know what happened to roselli like just like nobody knows what happened to jimmy hoffa but somehow they another that the weights didn't work and the tubs float up to the surface and they find resell these body parts which clearly indicates that this is not one of the many suicides that surround the kennedy assassination somebody assassinated him and we don't know to this day who did it it could be the the cia that was upset or it could be the mafia we don't know but people don't talk when it comes to murdering they certainly didn't talk with respect to recei they certainly didn't talk with respect to jimmy hoffa where people did talk however and this was this was always the achilles heel of this assassination they talked about the autopsy you see because the people that are involved in the cover-up are not necessarily involved in the assassination they may be indirectly involved in the cover-up but but they don't have that same strong incentive of keeping things secret as those that are involved in the actual assassination they'll keep it secret but they don't have that strong incentive and that's what happened with the autopsy now they were successful in keeping most of the the autopsy matters secret for 30 years and and they did this with secrecy oaths they they they had enlisted men that were involved in this thing they didn't know they were involved in a cover-up but they were involved in a cover-up but they made them sign secrecy oaths and they said that if if you ever talk about what you witnessed here we will court-martial you we will criminally prosecute you we will come after you even if you're out of the military i

00:18:01 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cQ3FyL4n5hg&t=1081s>)

even if you're out of the military i mean they put the fear of god that's what these enlisted men said they put the fear of god in us when we when we did this when we participated in this and afterwards we were forced to sign these secrecyos and they were told it was classified now we all know what that means especially in

the military you get some you're involved in a classified operation top secret that's it and so people kept their secrets by and large for 30 years i mean it was is quite an incredible and impressive achievement on the part of the pentagon because it was the navy that performed the autopsy on president kennedy's body now i talk about this in my book the kennedy autopsy which i would invite you to read the kennedy autopsy two and then but the most authoritative book on the autopsy is by doug horn called inside the assassination records review board and it's a five volume work it's coffee table size it is a tough read i'll tell you right in advance i mean that's why i wrote my my two books the kennedy autopsy and the kennedy autopsy two to because they're kind of a synopsis of what what horn presents in this massive five-volume work but i guarantee you you you get through horn's book take you some time at the end of it you will have no doubts this was a fraudulent autopsy horn served on the staff of the assassination of records review board during the 1990s so what happens at this period of time is the dam starts to break during the term of the assassination of records review board now here's an interesting little sidelight on this thing somebody slipped a provision into the jfk records act in congress when they enacted this law that prohibited the arrb from investigating any aspect of the assassination look if you're going to have records that are going to be disclosed after 30 years of secrecy wouldn't you want somebody to investigate if there's something wrong

[00:20:01 \(<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cQ3FyL4n5hg&t=1201s>\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cQ3FyL4n5hg&t=1201s)

investigate if there's something wrong with some of those records well not congress they said no we want you to get the records disclosed but if you find anything weird in these things anything incriminating you are not authorized to investigate them and in fact the the board of trustees of the are b strictly enforced this prohibition on the staff they made it real clear that if any staff member were ever caught investigating any aspect of the kennedy assassination he would be fired on the spot so all they could do technically was clarify the record and get records revealed and so forth but in the process of doing this they took 10 depositions they uncovered a massive amount of evidence that points to the fraudulent nature of the autopsy now why is the fraudulent autopsy you know important to this well it's the key or at least a key because there is no innocent explanation for a fraudulent autopsy none and i guarantee you if there had been they would have brought it up by now there is no innocent explanation so once you conclude that this was a fraudulent autopsy that the case is closed that's it the the evidence is conclusive that the national security establishment committed this assassination because it's only the national security establishment that did the autopsy nobody else so if the autopsy is fraudulent then it has to be embroiled in the assassination itself it has to be part and parcel of the assassination as part of the overall plot now in my in our recent conference uh the national security state and the jfk and the kennedy assassination in in my presentation i pointed out that the the plan for the fraudulent autopsy actually began at parkland parkland hospital it didn't start at bethesda where the autopsy was actually conducted it started at parkland because that was

[00:22:00 \(<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cQ3FyL4n5hg&t=1320s>\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cQ3FyL4n5hg&t=1320s)

it started at parkland because that was where you had this massive altercation which the mainstream press is always treated as very normal that you've got you know the dallas county medical examiner dr earl rose saying i'm going to do the autopsy texas law required this this was a state murder case that was it it was not a federal crime at that time to assassinate the president it's just a state murder case but state law says you have to do an autopsy i mean if there's going to be a criminal prosecution you the the medical examiner is going to be there to introduce the autopsy reporters to the causes of death where the bullets come from the trajectories and so forth bullet fragments found and at that moment uh the head of the secret service uh team there at parkland a guy named roy kellerman who was in the passenger seat of the automobile in which the president was was traveling when he got shot and who never made one step to crawl over the seat and cover the president after after the first shot was fired the first shot hit the president in the throat uh kellerman just sat there like a bump on the log and and waited until until the president was shot in the head well then he's at parkland half an hour later with a machine gun and and his agents brandishing guns telling rose you're not going to conduct this autopsy you're not going to touch his body we're taking it back to washington with us and there was screaming and yelling and profanities and people said they were terrified they thought that people were going to get killed right there by by kellerman and his team and well kellerman forces his

way out of there with the body and he delivers it to president johnson new president johnson at love field who's anxiously awaiting it and they take it to anders air force base in maryland and then turn it over not to the to the to the corner there in the local county no they turn over to the military and again you see that the mainstream press has always said well this is totally normal jake how could it be normal this is a civilian country

00:24:01 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cQ3FyL4n5hg&t=1441s>)

civilian country you're turning the the body over to the military well in retrospect once the ar rb comes into existence we see now why they were doing it and and the whole overall sophisticated nature of the plot is set forth in in my book the kin of the autopsy and the kennedy autopsy too along with my other book regime change the jfk assassination but let me let me give you an example so you've got the boygen report roger borjan was a marine sergeant he uh the the arb discovers his existence and they contact him and he says well i was a marine sergeant on that friday night of the assassination and my team was in charge of security at bethesda at the naval facility there his job was to keep unauthorized people out and he said we brought the president's body in at 6 35 p.m into the morgue well there's a problem with that because the official entry time of the president's body which the mainstream press saw and everybody sees and everybody acknowledges is the official entry time was 8 pm so now this implies if boydjan's telling the truth that somebody sneaked the president's body in early an hour and a half early to do something there this isn't just playing tiddlywinks when somebody's sneaking a body in early uh and and lying about and keeping it secret for 30 years you know they're up to no good they're they're up to something fraudulent and crooked and so boyden says well he told the arb i have a copy of my report do you want it and so it's incredible this man had kept an onion-skinned carbon copy of his report this marine for 30 years and the arb says yeah we'd like a copy of them they get a copy and sure enough the body was brought in at 6 35 pm now the military of course didn't turn

00:26:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cQ3FyL4n5hg&t=1560s>)

now the military of course didn't turn that over that record when they were required to do it in fact my hunch is they destroyed the record of the time it took place it's too incriminating they couldn't allow it to be in existence so what is the mainstream press what are the loan nutters say about this they don't say anything they just say let's just not talk about it let's not talk about roger boyce and let's act like it didn't happen let's let's just play like we're going to airbrush this out of history that you see that if the if a piece of a puzzle becomes a little too uncomfortable just eliminate it ignore it but you can't ignore it you see there's some of us that can't ignore it this is why we keep pressing we want an explanation here not one main street reporter after the uh after the arr b released borjan's uh report contacted him and said hey i want to get to the bottom of this never once was he attacked by the pathologists who were still alive at that time the ones who had conducted the fraudulent autopsy that the military didn't attack voyage and they didn't say hey this guy's lying he's made up this story we're coming after him we're going to prosecute him no and and boyja knew the risks i mean he could have been summoned before the arb and testify under oath and subject himself to perjury they didn't touch him they kept their lips sealed when it came to roger boydjan and what does the mainstream press say about the boys and report they don't say anything they just say oh lone nut loan nut loan nut okay fine 64. you can be a lone nut theorist but now if you're alone that theorist tell us how this fits in the boardroom report fits in with the lone nut theory now like i guess they could say well boydjan made it up why would he make it up what would be the point to subject himself to a perjury charge and find himself in jail for the rest of his life what he's mad at the military ridiculous but even if you do say that well now then you run right smack dab into dennis david

00:28:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cQ3FyL4n5hg&t=1680s>)

right smack dab into dennis david and dennis rabinich these are two members of the navy okay now remember boyjan is a marine he's in charge of security these guys are navy guys and what do they say they told the ar ar rb we carried the shipping casket into the morgue in which the president's body was in other

words at 6 35 they're carrying the body in okay now the thing about it is is that in dallas he the the president's body was put into this expensive ornate multi thousand dollar casket you know the kind of viewing casket that you would see in a funeral this is a shipping casket this is a casket that's used to ship bodies around in the planes and stuff cheap metal shipping casket they carried the president's body in in that cheap shipping casket at 6 35 pm so what went on in that hour and a half between 6 35 pm and 8 pm well that's what's covered by by horn and his massive book and to a certain extent my two books the kennedy autopsy and the kennedy autopsy too but i can tell you that when you're doing this kind of thing it's it's it's it's criminal conduct i mean you you don't you don't fool around with bodies like this and notice also that if there'd been a trial everybody knows that when they're sneaking the body in that that a sharp team of criminal defense lawyers are going to subpoena everybody involved with this autopsy and there was never any concern expressed about that possibility which would indicate that there was never going to be a trial that lee harvey oswald was a dead man walking from the first day he was arrested first minute he was arrested he was a dead man because they behave as if there's never going to be a trial because i guarantee you a sharp team of criminal defense lawyers and oswald would have had the sharpest team of criminals because this was a death penalty case they would have subpoenaed everybody so

00:30:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cQ3FyL4n5hg&t=1800s>)

they would have subpoenaed everybody so oswald was a dead man walking from the minute he was arrested but then okay let's say you say well maybe these three navy men entered into a conspiracy you see now the lone others become the conspiracy theorists well then they run right smack dab into the galler's funeral home report now gauler's at this time was the most prestigious funeral home in in washington it might still be i don't know but at that time it was it was chosen to do the funeral and the the embalming of the president's body and the a-r-r-b discovers a report that had previously been secret even the the gallers didn't turn over the report to the ar rb i don't know how the ar rb got it but later gawlers authenticated it that said yep the president's body was brought in a shipping casket okay so if so if they're going to claim there's a conspiracy now their conspiracy is widening okay but it gets even wider because now there's also the the witnesses various witnesses that said the president's body when it was taken out of the shipping casket was in a body bag you know with a zipper on it well the problem there is in dallas they didn't use a body bag they just wrapped the president in his body in white sheets put it into this huge casket put it back in into the casket well now here it is in this shipping casket at 6 35 pm in a body bag zippered body bag so you know that there's something going on here this is not a a conspiracy you know this is this is individual people testifying uh of what went on here now obviously they had to perform some shenanigans to get the body back into the dallas casket so they could officially bring it in at 8 pm and act like nothing had happened and uh that that's where where horn delves into this in detail i i go into it somewhat in my book the kennedy autopsy

00:32:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cQ3FyL4n5hg&t=1920s>)

kennedy autopsy but horn goes into a great detail as to how roy kellerman the guy that had the machine gun secret service guy at parkland hospital got the gun out of there the guy who'd been the passenger seated in the limousine had done nothing to save the president's life how he orchestrated putting the body back into the dallas casket so that it could be brought in at 8 pm and hardly anyone would be the wiser at least for 30 years and so the the story goes on for 30 years until the ar rb just uncovers all of this now if all that's not enough for you well then there's the the testimony and the sworn testimony and the statement of dr pierre fink he was one of the three military pathologists that performed the autopsy he testifies in in the new orleans trial of clay shaw that was being prosecuted by the new orleans district attorney jim garrison that was the subject of oliver stone's movie jfk and fink is testifying there and he says well i received a telephone call and it was at 8 pm from commander humes one of the other pathologists and then this is also reinforced by a report that that fink had given to his commanding officer general bloomberg and the report and the testimony established that humes had called him at 8 pm and said would you come over and help us with the autopsy and fink said okay and then hume says we already have x-rays in the president's body and that was it i mean

that that just sealed the deal because how could they already have pre x-rays of the president's body if the president is being brought in at the exact same time as the phone calls being made 8 pm the only way they could have x-rays is if the body had already been brought in

00:34:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cQ3FyL4n5hg&t=2040s>)

brought in which was confirming evidence from one of the actors in this autopsy it was a massive slip up but confirmed the accuracy of what all these other witnesses were saying there were also some x-ray technicians that were helping with the x-rays and they were they went up to the main floor and they saw mrs kennedy walking in with bobby kennedy and and and the car was out there with the dallas casket which was obviously empty but they knew it was empty because they were dealing with the x-ray of the president's head and the president's body downstairs in the morning so these are the kind of things that those of us that question the official version are focused on and this is just the tip of the iceberg i mean you can read about all the other shenanigans that took place like i say the dam broke during the assassination records review board they could not keep the autopsy secret that these guys that that had been sworn to secrecy they didn't have a vested interest in protecting the secret i mean they they hadn't been part of any murder scheme so while the cap of secrecy worked very well over the assassination over the autopsy it didn't now there are some in the mainstream pres at least one person i read today that said regarding oliver stone's new documentary oh well it really isn't very effective because it doesn't point to who did it you know was it alan dulles the this former cia director that that kennedy had fired uh was it him was it uh general lemay that who hated kennedy did he do it who exactly was involved in this plot and and she says well because we don't know that the the documentary really isn't that effective that's ridiculous i mean who was involved in the regime change operation with mosadek in iran in 1953 who were

00:36:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cQ3FyL4n5hg&t=2160s>)

with mosadek in iran in 1953 who were the people involved in the regime change operation in 54 in guatemala where they targeted kobo arbenz with assassination or well we don't know they specifically targeted him but they had an assassination list that they're keeping secret and they won't reveal it to this day based on national security but clearly arvind's had to be at the top of this thing and then you've got the the regime change operation in chile who was involved in that it doesn't matter the specific people who are involved they've all kept their mouths shut they went to their grave with their secret what matters is that this is an institutional thing this is a regime change operation mosadek arbenz allende lumumba kennedy all of them are regime change operations on the part of the national security establishment that's what matters not the specific actors not the person who actually fired the shots or the people that fired the shots what matters is the institutional framework of the national security establishment it was the national security state that did this even if all members of the national security state weren't part of it we know based on this circumstantial evidence that there was a significant number of people within the national security establishment that pulled it off but it was effectively an act of the national security establishment the nash security branch of the government and that's that's where the circumstantial evidence leads as as i've said time and time again there is no innocent explanation for a fraud zone autopsy and so people sometimes they'll come to me and say jacob i'm just so overwhelmed with with the kennedy assassination oh there are so many theories and and well this is part of the cia strategy over the decades they've flooded the market with all these alternative theories you know the israelis did it the the mafia did it the uh space aliens did it or whatever oswald did it alone or oswald did it in concert with others or oswald did it with with fidel castro or fidel castro did it

00:38:01 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cQ3FyL4n5hg&t=2281s>)

with fidel castro or fidel castro did it alone or the soviets did it you know and so it becomes overwhelming but that's part of the strategy my favorite one is oh jacob i guess we'll never know who really did it it's ridiculous yes we do know i mean at some point once you steep yourself in this evidence and you realize this really was a frauds and an autopsy it goes from belief into knowledge where it's not just i believe this happened i know it happened and that's that's where the researchers are at this point the serious researchers they know

that this was a national security state regime change operation and so what we do is we just keep pushing we i keep telling people they don't focus on all these other theories that they're just distracters they're designed to be distracted don't worry about being called a conspiracy theorist that's part of the cia strategy too get their assets in the mainstream press to make people afraid to be in smeared as a conspiracy theorist notice that oliver stone isn't worried about it i mean the man shows great courage and continuing to press for justice for truth uh and what i tell people is just focus on the autopsy and my hunch is at this this uh this documentary by oliver stone's gonna touch a lot on the autopsy the fraudulent autopsy because if you you don't even have to focus on the magic bullet theory i mean you know i'm sure some of you are familiar with how magical this bullet was set it aside all you got to do is focus on the autopsy get my book the kennedy autopsy the kennedy autopsy 2 read horn's book and then once you oh go go to our conference i mean it we have half of the conference documents the fraudulent nature of the autopsy the frauds and x-rays the fraudulent photographs and and like i say if you're not convinced at the end of that conference i would be very very surprised i've put this thing together like a prosecutor would in the case and by focusing on the autopsy once you

00:40:02 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cQ3FyL4n5hg&t=2402s>)

and by focusing on the autopsy once you make that conclusion this was a fraudulent autopsy there is but one conclusion you can reach as to who did the assassination and then of course that's the national security establishment and then the why the assassination we delve into that that's the other half of this thing i would invite you to read a great book that doug horn authored for the future of freedom foundation called jfk's war uh with the national security uh uh establishment uh dave coy uh i forget the exact title but it's jfk's war with the national security establishment so that gives the motive of what was going on here the conflict of visions between kennedy uh a a president for peace for for restoring the principles of peace and harmony with the nations of the world that was going to end the cold war which was anathema to the national security establishment they considered that a surrender to the communists a a was going to be a massive defeat in the cold war it was going to result in a communist takeover of the united states so you had this very serious conflict of visions from which there was no reconciliation there was going to be a winner and a loser and unfortunately for kennedy and unfortunately for the nation kenny was the one that lost that war so that's my perspective today on the libertarian angle uh thank you for tuning in again if you're new to fff come and visit our website fff.org come and visit our our video presentations jfk on uh the the kennedy assassination the national security state and the kennedy assassination subscribe to our fff daily that you get you know updates on my daily blog and commentaries both within the libertarian movement and outside the libertarian movement and of course to our monthly journal and if you like our work i would invite you to subscribe to donate to the future of freedom foundation right now

00:42:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cQ3FyL4n5hg&t=2520s>)

freedom foundation right now we're putting together another conference for the fall on the importance of civil liberties which are under tremendous assault now as as the biden administration and the pentagon and the cia turn their sights inward going after the supposed white right ring and they're turning their war on terrorism inward we're all in danger anybody that isn't one of these subservient obsequious uh acolytes of the national security establishment the government these these are dangerous times and we need to be bringing civil liberties to the forefront and and and putting these people back in their place so that's my my perspective this week on libertarian angle and i look forward to talking to you all next week [Music]

---

END